

EVALUATION MEETING IN MACERATA (ITALY)

24-28 OCT 2011

Results:

- Number of persons who answered the evaluation: **10**
- Not all the persons answered all the questions.
- The points that you see are the media on each item.
- You can also see the global media on each question.

After answering the evaluation test the coordinator explained his feeling about this meeting. He wasn't happy about it and he said that his feeling was very different from the one he had in Poland.

We all talked about our feelings and complain about things we didn't like or we would change. Then we all agreed that we have to make changes in some aspects for future meetings:

- More communication between us via e-mail. The coordinator has to be more in touch with the partners.
- Try to be friendlier with all the participants and make the effort of knowing us a little bit more.
- Compromise with the agreements we make in the work sessions. If we need to change something because of problems (ex: leaving before, not doing some of the works ...) we can try to communicate it before so that we can find a solution.
- Be respectful and responsible with timetable and work sessions.

<i>Items:</i>	<i>Questions</i>	<i>Media</i>
GENERAL COORDINATION (Noia's team)	Globally.....	3,18
<p>What was the worst aspect of the coordination?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Sometimes communication. -Poor e-mail contact before the meeting. -Work session on Wednesday too long because the Slovakian group left early. -I had the impression you were annoyed because you didn't talk to me. -Too many tasks given (students tasks). -Ok. -Any. -Little time for socialising. 		
<p>How could it be improved?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Better organization of student's presentations. -Better timetable and class-rooms for work sessions. -Date-up homepage! -More free time. -E-mail answer frequently! -Don't let members go before Friday unless you want to have an unnecessary length of the work-sessions. -Lots of work programme for meeting in Slovakia. Hope it works. 		
<p>Additional comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Prepare work-sessions with a protocol. 		
HOSTS WORK	Globally.....	3,6

<i>Items:</i>	<i>Questions</i>	<i>Media</i>
(Macerata's team)		
Was a quality and right organisation?	* Quality of lodging..... * Meals..... * Adequate schedule program..... * Moving in Macerata.....	3,5 3,7 3,5 3,7
Materials, resources and equipments	* Information given previously to the partners..... * Accuracy and quality of the material given..... * Disponibility of resources and equipments.....	3,6 3,6 3,6
Socio-cultural activities (European dimension)	* Meaningful visits..... * Time dedicated to socio-cultural activities..... * Timetable done successfully.....	3,8 3,5 3,6
Additional comments		
-Thanks for transportation -Nice visits: caves, eco-friendly house, mill.		
What was the worst aspect of the organisation?		
-More free time -Being on time and having to wait -Poor coordination between host and general coordinator		
How could it be improved?		
-Make sure members are on time, it is very annoying to be on time and then having to wait too long -Work sessions in the morning -We need time and place to use computers		
WORK SESSIONS	Globally.....	3,2

<i>Items:</i>	<i>Questions</i>	<i>Media</i>
About contents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Accuracy and clear objectives..... 3,2 * Correct timing..... 3,2 * Progress of debates..... 3,1 * Agreements reached..... 3,5 	
About development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The sessions were useful..... 3,2 * The sessions have been quite interesting..... 3,4 * They have helped me understand my part in the project..... 3,3 	
<p>Additional comments</p> <p>-Please plan in advanced.</p> <p>-Evaluation session was very sad ,I didn't like it</p>		
<p>What was the worst?</p> <p>-Communication before the meeting.</p> <p>-Some teachers could not contribute because of language problems.</p> <p>-Class room for the work session wasn't adequate</p> <p>-Impolite behaviour of some teachers when we started the work sessions</p>		
<p>How could it be improved?</p> <p>-Only send teachers that can speak English well</p>		
AUTOEVALUATION	Globally.....	3,6
Participation before the	* I've participated actively in the	

<i>Items:</i>	<i>Questions</i>	<i>Media</i>
meeting	preparation of meeting given ideas and suggestions.....	3,7
	* I´ve been regularly in touch via e-mail with the coordinator.....	3,8
	* I´ve been regularly in touch via e-mail with the hosts.....	3,3
Participation during the meeting	* I´ve participated actively.....	3,8
	* My ideas have been taking in to account.....	3,8
	* My expectations have been fulfilled	3,2

Additional comments

What was the worst aspect of my participation?

-I had to get up too early
 -Work session Wednesday when everybody checked e-mails
 -Not possible being in touch with the coordinator because there were no e-mails, not answers

How could it be improved?

-Everybody participate
 -Coordinator can send more e-mails and answer them faster.