

EVALUATION MEETING IN OBERTSHAUSEN **RESULTS**

NOVEMBER-DECEMBER 2010

Results:

- Number of persons who answered the evaluation: 11
- Not all the persons answered all the questions.
- The points that you see are the media on each item.
- You can also see the global media on each question.

<i>Items:</i>	<i>Questions</i>	<i>Media</i>
GENERAL COORDINATION (Noia's team)	Globally.....	3,68
General organisation of the project	* Planning.....	3,9 3,1
	* Schedule.....	3,7 3,7
	* Quality of the information given.....	3,7
	* Amount of the information given.....	3,7
	* Information in time.....	
	* Communication system.....	
Efficient presentation of the project	* Degree of competence and knowledge about the topic.....	4
	* Communication ability.....	3,7
	* Good organization and problem solving ability.....	3,7

What was the worst aspect of the coordination?

- Lack of information**
- Time should be used better**
- Time schedule for work sessions**

How could it be improved?

- Everybody should know about problems in advanced**

Items:	Questions	Media
Additional comments		
HOSTS WORK (Obertshausen's team)	Globally.....	3,6
Was a quality and right organisation?	* Quality of lodging..... * Meals..... * Adequate schedule program..... * Moving in Obertshausen.....	3,2 4 3,5 4
Materials, resources and equipments	* Information given previously to the partners..... * Accuracy and quality of the material given..... * Disponibility of resources and equipments.....	3,7 3,1 3,6
Socio-cultural activities (European dimension)	* Meaningful visits..... * Time dedicated to socio-cultural activities..... * Timetable done successfully.....	3,7 3,7 3,5
Additional comments -More activities with		

Items:	Questions	Media
<p>students -Very good hosting, helpful in all senses</p> <hr/> <p>What was the worst aspect of the organisation? -Voting logo without the participation of Slovakian team</p> <hr/> <p>How could it be improved? -Be more flexible -Some physical activities.</p>		
WORK SESSIONS	Globally.....	3,5
About contents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Accuracy and clear objectives..... 3,6 * Correct timing..... 3,7 * Progress of debates..... 3,2 * Agreements reached..... 3,8 	
About development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The sessions were useful..... 3,6 * The sessions have been quite interesting..... 3,5 * They have helped me understand my part in the project..... 3,6 * Language has been a problem 1,6 	

Items:	Questions	Media
	for communication.....	
<p>Additional comments</p> <p>-The meeting classroom could be decorated more properly to reflect the idea of the European Project.</p>		
<p>What was the worst?</p>		
<p>How could it be improved?</p> <p>-Time should be used better</p> <p>-The possibility of using computers by teachers and students</p>		
AUTOEVALUATION	Globally.....	3,05
Participation before the meeting	<p>* I´ve participated actively in the preparation of meeting given ideas and suggestions.....</p> <p>* I´ve been regularly in touch via e-mail with the coordinator.....</p> <p>* I´ve been regularly in touch via e-mail with the hosts.....</p>	<p>3,2</p> <p>2,3</p> <p>2,1</p>
Participation during the meeting	* I´ve participated actively.....	3,8

Items:	Questions	Media
	* My ideas have been taking in to account..... * I had communication problems because of language..... * My expectations have been fulfilled	3,6 1,8 3,3

Additional comments

-More flexibility
-Important points of programme could be postponed (delayed arrivals)

What was the worst aspect of my participation?

-Language knowledge

How could it be improved?

-More communication before the meeting